

As stated by Time, "The rationale to curtail traditional welfare programs was compelling because the old system did not work. It was unfair and destroyed incentive and perpetuated dependence and distorted the economy."

□ 1830

"The same indictment, almost to the word, applies to corporate welfare. In some ways, it represents pork-barrel legislation of the worst order. The difference, of course, is that instead of rewarding the poor, it rewards the powerful."

I agree with the gentleman from Pennsylvania that corporate welfare deserves all the attention we can give it to bring it into the light.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I would like to echo the comments that were made by the gentleman from Wisconsin and give a quote so that we know where the figure came from. Professor Thomas D. Hopkins, Interim Dean, College of Business at the Rochester Institute of Technology is the gentleman that estimated the total regulatory cost in the United States will be over \$700 billion a year.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this fair rule so that the House may continue this important legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 798

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to be removed from cosponsorship of H.R. 798.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TERRY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JULY 26, 1999

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday next for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business

in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1999

Mr. BOEHLERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 507) to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate bill, as follows:

S. 507

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Water Resources Development Act of 1999".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

Sec. 101. Project authorizations.

Sec. 102. Project modifications.

Sec. 103. Project deauthorizations.

Sec. 104. Studies.

TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 201. Flood hazard mitigation and riverine ecosystem restoration program.

Sec. 202. Shore protection.

Sec. 203. Small flood control authority.

Sec. 204. Use of non-Federal funds for compiling and disseminating information on floods and flood damages.

Sec. 205. Aquatic ecosystem restoration.

Sec. 206. Beneficial uses of dredged material.

Sec. 207. Voluntary contributions by States and political subdivisions.

Sec. 208. Recreation user fees.

Sec. 209. Water resources development studies for the Pacific region.

Sec. 210. Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers enhancement project.

Sec. 211. Outer Continental Shelf.

Sec. 212. Environmental dredging.

Sec. 213. Benefit of primary flood damages avoided included in benefit-cost analysis.

Sec. 214. Control of aquatic plant growth.

Sec. 215. Environmental infrastructure.

Sec. 216. Watershed management, restoration, and development.

Sec. 217. Lakes program.

Sec. 218. Sediments decontamination policy.

Sec. 219. Disposal of dredged material on beaches.

Sec. 220. Fish and wildlife mitigation.

Sec. 221. Reimbursement of non-Federal interest.

Sec. 222. National Contaminated Sediment Task Force.

Sec. 223. John Glenn Great Lakes Basin program.

Sec. 224. Projects for improvement of the environment.

Sec. 225. Water quality, environmental quality, recreation, fish and wildlife, flood control, and navigation.

Sec. 226. Irrigation diversion protection and fisheries enhancement assistance.

Sec. 227. Small storm damage reduction projects.

Sec. 228. Shore damage prevention or mitigation.

Sec. 229. Atlantic coast of New York.

Sec. 230. Accelerated adoption of innovative technologies for contaminated sediments.

Sec. 231. Mississippi River Commission.

Sec. 232. Use of private enterprises.

TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS

Sec. 301. Dredging of salt ponds in the State of Rhode Island.

Sec. 302. Upper Susquehanna River basin, Pennsylvania and New York.

Sec. 303. Small flood control projects.

Sec. 304. Small navigation projects.

Sec. 305. Streambank protection projects.

Sec. 306. Aquatic ecosystem restoration, Springfield, Oregon.

Sec. 307. Guilford and New Haven, Connecticut.

Sec. 308. Francis Bland Floodway Ditch.

Sec. 309. Caloosahatchee River basin, Florida.

Sec. 310. Cumberland, Maryland, flood project mitigation.

Sec. 311. City of Miami Beach, Florida.

Sec. 312. Sardis Reservoir, Oklahoma.

Sec. 313. Upper Mississippi River and Illinois waterway system navigation modernization.

Sec. 314. Upper Mississippi River management.

Sec. 315. Research and development program for Columbia and Snake Rivers salmon survival.

Sec. 316. Nine Mile Run habitat restoration, Pennsylvania.

Sec. 317. Larkspur Ferry Channel, California.

Sec. 318. Comprehensive Flood Impact-Response Modeling System.

Sec. 319. Study regarding innovative financing for small and medium-sized ports.

Sec. 320. Candy Lake project, Osage County, Oklahoma.

Sec. 321. Salcha River and Piledriver Slough, Fairbanks, Alaska.

Sec. 322. Eyak River, Cordova, Alaska.

Sec. 323. North Padre Island storm damage reduction and environmental restoration project.

Sec. 324. Kanopolis Lake, Kansas.

Sec. 325. New York City watershed.

Sec. 326. City of Charlevoix reimbursement, Michigan.

Sec. 327. Hamilton Dam flood control project, Michigan.

Sec. 328. Holes Creek flood control project, Ohio.

Sec. 329. Overflow management facility, Rhode Island.

Sec. 330. Anacostia River aquatic ecosystem restoration, District of Columbia and Maryland.